

TEHAMA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND  
WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT  
MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2000

Present: Chairman George Russell, Barbara McIver, Bill Borrer, Ros Turner and Charles Willard. Also present: Ernie Ohlin, Water Resources Manager, Gary Plunkett, Director of Public Works, Gary Antone, City of Red Bluff, Bud Hagen, Toccoy Dudley of DWR, Roger Sherrill, Chairman TAC AB3030 Committee, Burt Bundy, Sacramento River Conservation Area Coordinator and Bill Richardson, member of the AB3030 TAC.

1. CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 8:33 A.M.
2. APPROVAL OF AUGUST 22, 2000 MINUTES: Motion to approve the minutes by Director Turner, with the addition of Director Willard's comments of "Director Willard complimented NCWA on finally joining with RCRC in opposing the Machado Governance bill for Calfed" added to the minutes. Second by Director McIver and carried 5-0.
3. PUBLIC COMMENT: None
4. CLAIMS: Motion to accept the claims as presented by Director Borrer. Second by Director Turner and carried 5-0.
5. AB 3030 TAC UPDATE AND REVIEW OF COMMITTEE ROLE: Director Willard discussed possible confusion on the last meeting with regard to the roll of the Technical Advisory Committee, not the AB3030 Plan specifically and the progress being made.

Roger Sherrill, Chairman of the AB3030 Technical Advisory Committee, discussed the objective of the TAC which is to be an effective tool for the development of the groundwater management program and be an asset to the Board.

- Generally, it is the TAC's understanding that direction from the TCFC&WCD Board comes via the Director of Water Resources and Staff.
- TAC requests what the Boards current view of the groundwater management program is and what intensity and issues should the TAC be pursuing.
- How do you fund a public service program such as the AB3030 Groundwater Management Plan countywide, long-term.

Director Borrer added that we are fortunate to have the TAC members. The priorities given are supported. With regard to the long range view, it is Director Borrer's hope that sometime in the not too distant future, with the data available, we may be able to identify one or two areas in the county that needs intensive work. With regard to funding, we will have to wait for the Governor's decision on AB303.

Director Willard discussed the need to have TAC's recommendations on proposed monitoring sites, financial

needs for monitoring devices and computer software, review grants as a funding source, consider a drought plan for Tehama County and for the TAC to review Tehama County Ordinance 1617 application form and process.

Director Borrer commented that Ordinance 1617 is the responsibility of the Board of Supervisors to administer.

Roger Sherrill commented the TAC always viewed the ordinance a stand alone, but a necessary addition to the County's ability to manage water within county boundaries. The items recommended would be excellent if they go the indirect way through staff.

Chairman Russell suggested that staff evaluate recommendations by Director Willard, return to the Board with your information and let the Board take action accordingly. Appreciation was given to the members of the TAC for their efforts.

6. BRIDGE EROSION AREA - STATUS REPORT: Burt Bundy, Sacramento River Conservation Area Coordinator noted that the Comprehensive Study is a basin wide look at the San Joaquine, Sacramento Basin as far as a comprehensive plan for flood management. The Corps of Engineers and Reclamation Board are two partners from the Federal and State agencies that are cooperating on the study. One thing they are doing is going to Congress in 2001 for funding for ongoing efforts in the program. But, some of that is to also look at initial projects earlier called "Early Implementation Projects". Now they are called "Initial Projects". As the SB1086 Coordinator, Mr. Bundy facilitated a meeting with a group in Glenn County to look at Woodson Bridge and Hamilton City and have the initial project team from the Corps and Reclamation Board examine the projects. Four criteria are needed to qualify: 1) Strong flood damage reduction; 2) Ecosystem component; 3) Supported by locals; 3) Stand alone project.

Mr. Bundy added that one thing needed is to get a better definition of what both projects really are. Woodson Bridge, as envisioned by Mr. Bundy, would look at protection of the west side abutment of the bridge and to look at the bridge itself and the sewer outfall from the City of Corning and to probably place rock on the west side. The second would be pull rock up above the river on state property. This would allow some flows into Copta Slough and take pressure off the state and county park side.

Director Borrer noted that the river is trying to go where it never went before. The reason it is going there is due to Corps projects. It was Director Borrer's recommendation the Board direct 1086 information to our Congressman for support.

Chairman Russell suggested this be put before the Board as an action item for November.

7. UPDATE ON REQUEST FOR PL84-00 FUNDING - DEER CREEK LEVEE: Ernie Ohlin reiterated last months information that after conversations with Ron Bertolli of DWR, the Corps of Engineers has not sent a staff member to the site or started a review of our appeal. As presented last month, the Corp stated they would respond within 30 days. Presently, 60 days has passed with no action. Staff will send correspondence to the Corp of Engineers expressing our concern.

Director Willard suggested a copy be sent to Senator Ose as well.

8. CALFED UPDATE: Ernie Ohlin invited the Board to the meeting of the Sacramento Valley Water Forum on September 28<sup>th</sup>.

Ernie Ohlin also briefly discussed his participation in the Calfed Geographic Review Team. Staff was glad to have the opportunity to review projects and felt the only perspective given on third-party impact, flood control and economic impact.

Director Willard added that Calfed is facing reauthorization. It is being opposed by urban coalition which translates to MWD and Santa Clara. The most interesting recent change is that Senator Feinstein is pounding on "What's the new yield?" Calfed's response is there is no new yield. Senator Feinstein has now changed her position.

Director Russell questioned the Drought Panel and who makes up the group. Staff listed the Governor appointed northern California people and the rest are central and southern California interests.

9. ADJOURN: With no further business the meeting adjourned at 9:23 A.M.

## EXCEPTS FROM FLOOD CONTROL BOARD MEETING SEPTEMBER 26, 2000

- Identify One or Two Areas in the County that Need Intensive Work
- Insure that the Model and Data Are Compatible and Exchangeable with Shasta (and Glenn) County
- Recommend Selected Well Sites for Continuous Monitoring (Multi-completion Wells)
- How Much Money Needed to Accomplish Needs:
  - Multicompletion Wells
  - Individual Well Monitoring Devices
  - Computer Software
- Review Appropriateness of Grant Type
- Consider Drought Effects
  - Develop a Plan - When Will it Trigger Phase II or III
- Review Ordinance 1617 Permitting Process
  - Is the Application Form Ok
  - What Needs to Be Added
  - Who Should Review Individual Applications